Pressure Injury Related to Friction and Shearing Forces in Older Adults
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Introduction

The scientific literature describes many physiologic changes to the human body with age. These changes have a significant impact on the potential harm from pressure, friction, and shearing forces on the skin. Prolonged stay or immobility on surfaces such as beds and chairs are known to increase the risk of pressure injuries (PIs). Most PI prevention strategies target pressure force risk reduction through mechanical offloading, repositioning, and pressure redistribution support surfaces. However, the movement of repositioning may increase friction and shearing forces that may lead to PIs if not done properly especially in the older population. Diminished skin integrity and increased skin fragility with aging highlight the need for PI prevention strategies to address friction and shear risk reduction. This mini review of the literature provides a brief summary of PI injury related to friction and shearing forces in older adults.

Aging Skin and Pressure Injury

The process of aging skin is well documented in many publications. Research demonstrates that younger skin composition is very organized with histological findings of rete ridges effacement at the dermal-epidermal junction, complex elastic fiber arrays, and basketweave fibrillar collagen leading to resistance of skin deformation. Skin over time diminishes its structural organization and ability to act as a barrier to microbes, toxins, sunlight, and radiation leading to PI risk with prolonged healing time. Skeletal tissue changes with aging include diminished muscle mass, decreased epidermal thickness, flattening of epidermal rete ridges and dermal papillae, loss of subcutaneous fat, and reduced skin elasticity. The skin dermal matrix also becomes thinner, crosslinked, and fragmented as people age. The reduction of subcutaneous fat among older adults contributes to saggy skin and wrinkles along with vulnerability to injury from mechanical stress over bony prominences. A tensile load causes the dermal collagen fibers beneath to straighten in the direction of the pressure and thus weakens the skin structure with increased risk of injury. Skin microstructure influences friction and shear particularly at the superficial layers where the outer most layer of the skin, stratum corneum, is typically 15 to 20 cell thickness of keratinized epithelial cells. Humidity and moisture...
can soften the stratum corneum, which increases contact, adhesion, friction, and deformation leading to more risk of blisters, skin tears, and superficial PIs.10

To summarize pressure-related tissue damage, PIs are identified by their appearance into four main stages and two additional categories. Stage 1 PI is non-blanchable erythema of intact skin over a bony prominence.7,11,12 Stage 2 PI involves partial thickness loss of the epidermis and possibly dermis or may appear as a clear fluid blister.2,11,12 Stage 3 PI is full thickness skin loss that involves subcutaneous fat, and Stage 4 PI is full thickness skin loss that extends to muscle, tendon, ligament, cartilage, or bone.2,11,12 Unstable PIs are wounds covered with non-viable tissue that obscure the accurate depth of injury for staging.2,12 Deep tissue injury (DTI) involves tissue changes at the bone-muscle interface that presents with bruising type of skin discoloration or as a blood-filled blister.2,12 The most common PI areas in order of highest to lowest prevalence in older adults are the sacrum, heels, trochanter, and ischium.11 These skin sites are important to examine among older patients and PI staging documentation should be completed as soon as possible to guide interventions.

Friction and Shear

Various PI stages are influenced by the different forces exerted such as friction and shear. Friction is defined as the, “mechanical force exerted when skin is dragged across a coarse surface,” and skin damage due to friction alone typically leads to superficial abrasions with vulnerability to PIs.13 Shear is a mechanical force that acts internally on the skin tissue in a direction parallel to the body’s surface.13,14-16 Shearing forces occur between the body internal structures and skin tissues typically moving in opposite directions and may lead to deep tissue injury.14,16 Friction and shear have a direct relationship so if friction increases, then shear likely increases.14 Friction forces have the greatest impact on superficial layers of skin via a “top-down” mechanism of tissue injury while shearing forces influence deeper tissue structures via a “bottom-up” mechanism of tissue injury.16,17 Dynamic conditions like repositioning and patient transfers may drag the skin over bony prominences, increasing both the friction and shearing forces.18,19 This increases the risk of superficial tissue injury including skin tears and blister formation particularly in older adults given their skin atrophy, reduced collagen, and loss of elastin.19 These movements may also make the skin tissue more vulnerable to deeper PIs.

During friction and shearing forces, tissues are stretched with possible separation of skin layers and blood vessel tearing or twisting leading to impaired blood flow.16,20,21 Lack of blood flow that carries oxygen and nutrients to cells causes cell death and results in tissue damage and PI formation.14,20-22 Shear stress from 9 to 14.9 kilopascals of force is the threshold range for potential PI formation.23 Shearing forces may often exceed this threshold during repositioning tasks such as sliding a patient parallel in bed.3,11 Particularly in this scenario, the coccyx bone moves up while the skin is moving down, leading to forces in the opposite direction that increases the likelihood of skin tear and decreased perfusion with PI risk in the deep tissues over the coccyx bone.11 Frequent movement leads to differences in temperature and moisture conditions that place the skin at increased vulnerability to injury. The friction coefficient of human skin increases when it is moist and contributes to PI formation.24 Therefore, it is important to promote an optimal skin microclimate utilizing appropriate PI risk reduction linens and support surfaces.11,14 Friction increases between skin and textiles under wet conditions, so it is important to keep both surfaces clean and dry.25

Friction and Shear Risk Reduction

There are many strategies to help reduce friction and shear. Specific attention to skin care, selection of support surfaces and fabrics, positioning techniques, and use of Safe Patient Handling and Mobility (SPHM) technology among older adults are highlighted here. Approaches to reduce friction and shear include using skin barrier products and avoiding massage during skin care.26 Protective products such as cyanoacrylate topical skin protectants increase skin surface stiffness and can reduce the effects of friction forces on thin elderly skin.26 Protective dressings may also be used over bony prominences during movement to help prevent skin damage during patient transfers.11 The roughness of surfaces underneath patients is also a main contributor to friction and shear especially among older adults with decreased skin elasticity.26 Seat cushions comprised of viscous fluid followed by air cell, elastic or viscoelastic foam, and honeycomb were found to have the least interface shear stress.27 Synthetic fibers found in low friction textiles were found to have less friction and more water transport abilities than standard hospital sheets.24 Thus, selecting proper skin care products and surface textures may help decrease PI injury formation in older adults.

Proper positioning and SPHM technology during patient transfers may also reduce friction and shearing forces that may lead to PI injury in the older population. Friction and shear increase during transfers especially if the patient is lying on an incline or uneven surface.24 A supine position with the head of bed elevated over 30 degrees is also known to increase shear given the patient may start to slide downward.4 Researchers report backward direction shearing forces occur below the ischial tuberosity even while in a seated position like in a wheelchair.28 Furthermore, shear increases with leaning forward and whenever the torso is tilted to one side, which causes the
shear to increase in the opposite direction. The use of SPHM technology during patient transfers also warrant considerations to avoid PI risk, given some equipment or related textiles may decrease friction, shear or pressure while others may increase these forces. Few examples of SPHM devices include friction-reducing transfer sheets, air transfer devices, transfer boards, and overhead lifting devices. Friction-reducing sheets as opposed to standard cotton sheets when used during lateral patient transfers may help reduce skin drag. Other devices like transfer boards and slings may increase friction along the skin so proper selection of equipment based on patient size, needs, and skin features are imperative. These techniques may optimize the skin integrity during transfers especially among older adults with vulnerable skin to PI.

Many clinical PI risk assessment tools over the years have acknowledged the potential impact of friction and shearing forces. For example, the friction and shear component of the Braden Scale for Predicting Pressure Sore Risk asks how much assistance is required to move a patient versus the patient being able to completely lift themselves to help early detection of shearing forces to permit intervention. Real-time pressure-mapping sensors that can be incorporated into bed or seating cushions have also been developed. Some pressure-mapping sensors demonstrate higher areas of pressure in different colors so that repositioning may be optimized. Thermographic imaging has been used to detect temperature changes in the skin. Areas like the sacrum have been found to have the highest mean temperature which aids thermal detection of PI prone skin, but people 60 years and older may not have as high of a thermal response, making injury detection more challenging by this clinical parameter in the older population.

**Conclusion**

Overall, aging skin is particularly susceptible to PI injury due to friction and shearing forces and PI prevention strategies during patient transfers are warranted especially for older adults. Friction mainly contributing to superficial and shear to deeper skin tissue damage typically coincide in most scenarios. Barriers to friction and shear reduction include lack of knowledge of these forces, ability to accurately measure these forces, and appropriate product selection to reduce these forces. The majority of PI prevention research has targeted pressure force reduction through offloading, but there is growing awareness of friction and shear importance to PI development leading to more studies on how to address these barriers. The complexities of skin aging with the interactions of these forces make the older population at more risk for PIs. This highlights the need for skin protection during patient care and repositioning, which warrants the inclusion of older adults in future studies on this topic.
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