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Lichen planopilaris (LPP) is a primary cicatricial alopecia characterized by pruritus, tenderness, follicular spines and erythema in the active phase. Although the precise etiology is unknown, the pathogenesis involves chronic, lymphocyte-mediated inflammation that may ultimately lead to irreversible, scarring hair loss through its selective destruction of the hair follicle. Three variants of LPP exist and include the classic form, frontal fibrosing alopecia (FFA), and Graham-Little-Piccardi-Lassueur syndrome (progressive alopecia of the scalp, axillae, brows, and pubis). LPP often proves refractory to standard therapies and follows an unpredictable, relapsing course, frequently leading to a severely diminished quality of life. Here we further discuss our recent study concerning the use of tofacitinib in the treatment of recalcitrant LPP.

Numerous therapies have been used to treat LPP with variable success. First-line therapies include intralesional and high-potency topical corticosteroids, but these can result in scalp atrophy and compromise the success of future hair transplantation. Other topical agents have less risk of atrophy and include the calcineurin inhibitors tacrolimus and pimecrolimus. Although it does not control the underlying inflammatory process, both topical and oral minoxidil can also be used to maximize hair regrowth in patients who also suffer from inherited pattern alopecia – almost 50% of the population. Additional systemic therapies include hydroxychloroquine, mycophenolate mofetil, cyclosporine, methotrexate, thalidomide, doxycycline, retinoids, pioglitazone, 5-alpha reductase inhibitors, and short-term prednisolone. Recent studies have suggested the potential of low-dose oral naltrexone, platelet-rich plasma injections, and non-pharmacologic interventions such as excimer laser, but none have been uniformly effective. While hair pieces and extensions may increase volume and cover alopecic areas, caution must be exercised to avoid traction.

In a recently published study, we investigated the potential of topical and oral (systemic) formulations of tofacitinib. Tofacitinib is a Janus kinase (JAK) 1/3 inhibitor with efficacy against several immune-mediated dermatologic conditions, including atopic dermatitis, alopecia areata, and vitiligo. Its immunomodulating activity is mediated through inhibition of the JAK/STAT signaling pathway. In LPP, interferons have been implicated in facilitating lymphocytic recruitment and the loss of bulge epithelial cell immune
privilege. Through tofacitinib's effects on the JAK/STAT pathway, this interferon-mediated inflammation may be reduced, thereby improving symptoms and preventing further follicular destruction.

We explored the therapeutic potential of tofacitinib in the treatment of refractory LPP through a retrospective chart review. To the authors' knowledge, this is the second study investigating tofacitinib's use in LPP management. Our study included nine patients who had failed other therapy – three used topical, five used oral, and one used both formulations.

A favorable initial response was achieved in all but one patient. The remaining subject responded poorly to topical therapy but improved with systemic tofacitinib therapy. Although the absolute number of patients is small, there was a trend toward better clinical response in those on systemic as compared to those on topical therapy. The time to a favorable response ranged from 1 to 7 months, with 5 and 8 patients demonstrating improvement within the first 3 and 5 months of treatment, respectively.

Our current practice pattern is to treat rapidly progressive disease with a short course of prednisone or cyclosporine and then try to transition to topical calcineurin inhibitor therapy when possible (Figure 1). A subset of patients will achieve remission of their disease with pioglitazone at doses of 15-45 mg daily. Patients should be advised of the labeled indication, mixed data regarding efficacy, and boxed warning about bladder cancer with prolonged use. Our practice is not to continue pioglitazone after a maximum of 9 months with increasing doses in increments. Adjunctive agents include naltrexone at a dose of 5 mg daily and N-acetylcysteine at doses of 600-1200 mg three times daily. Excimer laser, hand-held narrow-band UVB, or low intensity laser cap therapy is often used as adjunctive therapy in those with a partial response. Hydroxychloroquine can occasionally be of benefit, but most of the patients referred to our clinic have already failed hydroxychloroquine therapy. Those who fail to respond may require treatment with methotrexate, mycophenolate mofetil, or tofacitinib. The results of our recent study lend additional support to the idea that both topical and systemic tofacitinib may be viable adjunctive treatment modalities in refractory cases. Hair disorders often respond slowly, and we re-evaluate at 3-month intervals to determine response to therapy and need for escalation.

When considering tofacitinib's use in practice, practitioners must balance the benefits of this medication with its risks. Our cohort only experienced minor laboratory abnormalities (one on topical and two on systemic therapy) that did not require intervention. However, providers should be aware of the potential side effects when prescribing this medication, including an increased risk of infection, cytopenias, hyperlipidemia, liver and renal abnormalities, as well as the potential for thromboembolic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mild or slowly progressive disease</th>
<th>Severe, refractory, or rapidly progressive disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Topical calcineurin inhibitor ± topical tofacitinib, low-dose naltrexone, NAC, or ILK</td>
<td>Short-term cyclosporine or prednisone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assess treatment response at 3-month intervals</td>
<td>Topical calcineurin inhibitor + HCQ or short-term pioglitazone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete response</td>
<td>Consider addition of HCQ and/or adjunctive therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial response</td>
<td>No response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider de-escalation</td>
<td>Consider adjunctive low-dose naltrexone or NAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue with current therapy</td>
<td>Assess treatment response at 3-month intervals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider addition of HCQ and/or adjunctive therapy</td>
<td>Complete response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease progression</td>
<td>Partial response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider treatment for refractory disease</td>
<td>No response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider alternative systemic therapy with MTX, MMF, or tofacitinib</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Stepwise Treatment Algorithm for LPP
NAC, N-acetylcysteine; ILK, intralesional corticosteroids; HCQ, hydroxychloroquine; MTX, methotrexate, MMF, mycophenolate mofetil
events\textsuperscript{14-16}. While the topical formulation has demonstrated lower plasma concentrations than its systemic counterpart, minor adverse events and laboratory abnormalities have still been reported with topical therapy\textsuperscript{13}.

While our results are promising, they must be interpreted after considering several limitations. We used a retrospective study design, and were not able to employ a validated measure of disease severity such as the Lichen Planopilaris Activity Index (LPPAI)\textsuperscript{3,4}. The LPPAI is suitable for prospective studies and provides a standardized tool by which providers can directly compare outcomes across various treatment modalities. Signs and symptoms such as erythema, tenderness, presence of follicular spines, and affected area were used to assess the therapeutic response, but future studies should also include a measure of quality of life. The possibility of observer bias cannot be excluded as our study was unblinded and non-randomized.

In addition, our entire cohort used tofacitinib adjunctively, making it difficult to assess the independent contribution of the drug. In a prior study, a portion (N=5) of the cohort used tofacitinib as monotherapy, and most subjects achieved favorable outcomes, but this study was also limited by its small sample size and retrospective design\textsuperscript{4}.

Current studies are limited by their small sample sizes and retrospective study designs, and well-designed prospective studies are badly needed. We advise a stepwise approach to therapy to minimize the risk of adverse effects. The risk of permanent scarring must be weighed against the risk of serious adverse events in light of individual patient factors and comorbidities, and patients should be active partners in decision-making. Hair transplantation may be considered once disease control has been achieved and inflammation subsided. It is important to note that aggressive therapy such as prednisone or cyclosporine is typically needed during the weeks following transplantation to avoid flares of disease. Gradual attrition of transplanted hairs is to be expected, even after successful transplantation, but data suggest patients are generally satisfied with the results and find that transplantation improves quality of life.
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